

PRINTER RUSH

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application :

10/06040

Examiner :

Stacks

GAU :

11626

From:

MW

Location:

IDC FMF FDC

Date:

8/4/05

Tracking #:

0607219

Week Date:

5/16/05

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DOC CODE

☐ 1449

☐ IDS

☒ CLM

☐ IIFW

☐ SRFW

☐ DRW

☐ OATH

☐ 312

☐ SPEC

DOC DATE

3-8-2005

MISCELLANEOUS

☐ Continuing Data

☐ Foreign Priority

☐ Document Legibility

☐ Fees

☐ Other

[RUSH] MESSAGE:

@ Claim 7 (original 9) depends on non-existent claim 86. Please advise.

Thanks

[XRUSH] RESPONSE:

In the amendment filed March 8, 2005, the dependency of claim 9 (now renumbered claim 7) was changed from "claim 8" to "claim 6". Although very light, there is ~~definitely~~ definitely a dash mark through the "8" in claim 9. Therefore, claim 9 depends from claim 6, not claim 86. Also see Applicant's Remarks section (attached).

8/11/05

INITIALS: 

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04